arXiv:1604.04744v1 [math.CV] 16 Apr 2016

Hörmander's solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation with compact support.

Eric Amar

Abstract

This work is a complement of the study on Hörmander's solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ equation initialised by H. Hedenmalm. Let φ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$; let $c_\varphi(z)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ then $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n,\ c_\varphi(z) > 0$. We denote by $L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n, e^\varphi)$ the (p,q) currents with coefficients in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^\varphi)$.

We prove that if $\omega \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n, e^\varphi),\ \bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ for $q < n$ then there is a solution $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$ of $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$.

This is done via a theorem giving a solution with compact support if the data has compact support.

1 Introduction.

Let φ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ in a domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $c_\varphi(z)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi(z)$, then $\forall z \in \Omega,\ c_\varphi(z) > 0$. We denote by $L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\Omega, e^\varphi)$ the currents in $L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, e^\varphi)$ with compact support in Ω and $\mathcal{H}_p(\Omega, e^{-\varphi})$ the space of all $(p,\ 0),\ \bar{\partial}$ closed forms in $L^2(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$.

In the case $n = 1$, H. Hedenmalm [3] proved the following theorem

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\omega \in L^2_{0,1}(\mathbb{C},\ e^\varphi)$ then there is $u \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$, and*
$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, c_\varphi e^\varphi)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^\varphi)},$$
provided that $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbb{C},\ e^{-\varphi})$.

As he prognosticated in his remark 1.3 in [3] this theorem was generalised to Stein manifolds in [1], and for domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ we got :

Theorem 1.2 *Let Ω be a pseudo convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$; if $\omega \in L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\Omega,\ e^\varphi)$ with $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ if $q < n$ and $\omega \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, e^\varphi)$ with $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$ if $q = n$, then there is $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$ such that $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$, and $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, e^\varphi)}$.*

Still pushed by H. Hedenmalm in several e-mails, the aim is to improve this theorem in the special case of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by removing the assumption on the compact support for ω. Precisely we shall prove the following

Theorem 1.3 *Let $\omega \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n,\ e^{\varphi})$ with $\bar\partial\omega = 0$ if $q < n$ and with $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$ if $q = n$, then there is $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi})$ such that $\bar\partial u = \omega$, and*
$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi})} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{\varphi})}.$$

I don't know if this is still true for a proper pseudo convex domain Ω of $\mathbb{C}^n$; the method I have works only for $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The proof is strongly based on theorem 1.2 via the theorem 2.1, which can be seen as an auto improvement of theorem 1.2.

2 Solutions with compact support.

The first aim is to prove the following theorem which is an improvement of theorem 1.2, because here the solution is also with compact support.

Theorem 2.1 *Let Ω be a pseudo convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and φ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ in Ω. Let $c_\varphi(z)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $\partial\bar\partial\varphi(z)$.*
There is a constant $C > 0$ such that
if $q < n$, $\forall\omega \in L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\Omega,\ e^{\varphi})$, $\bar\partial\omega = 0$, $\exists u \in L^{2,c}_{p,q-1}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^{\varphi})$, $\bar\partial u = \omega$, $\|u\| \leq C\|\omega\|$.
If $q = n$, we make the hypothesis that $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$ and we still get :
$$\forall\omega \in L^{2,c}_{p,n}(\Omega,\ e^{\varphi}),\quad \exists u \in L^{2,c}_{p,n-1}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^{\varphi}),\ \bar\partial u = \omega,\ \|u\| \leq C\|\omega\|.$$

Proof.
The idea is the same we used in [2]: we add a weight to force the solution to be small outside a fixed compact set, then we take a limit.

Let $\omega \in L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\Omega,\ e^{\varphi})$ with $\bar\partial\omega = 0$ if $q < n$ and $\omega \in L^2_{p,q}(\Omega, e^{\varphi})$ with $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$ if $q = n$. Take a p.c. domain D such that $\bar D \subset \Omega$, containing the support of ω and defined by a p.s.h. smooth function ρ, i.e. $D := \{z \in \Omega :: \rho(z) < 0\}$. This is always possible because Ω is Stein. Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and set $D_\epsilon := \{z \in \Omega :: \rho(z) < \epsilon\}$, then $D \subset D_\epsilon$.
Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that χ is increasing and convex and such that :
$$\forall t \leq 0,\ \chi(t) = 0\ ;\ \forall t > 0,\ \chi'(t) > 0,\ \chi"(t) > 0.$$
The function $\sigma := \chi(\rho)$ is also p.s.h. and smooth. We have that $\sigma > \chi(\epsilon) > 0$ outside $\bar D_\epsilon$ so, with $\psi_k := \varphi + k\sigma$ we have that $\partial\bar\partial\psi_k = \partial\bar\partial\varphi + k\partial\bar\partial\sigma$ is still positive definite, so ψ_k is s.p.s.h. and "big" outside $\bar D_\epsilon$. Moreover in D we have $\psi_k = \varphi$. So we apply theorem 1.2 with ψ_k and we get the existence of a solution $u_k \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega, c_{\psi_k} e^{\psi_k})$ of $\bar\partial u_k = \omega$, with

$$\|u_k\|_{L^2(\Omega, c_{\psi_k} e^{\psi_k})} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega, e^{\varphi})}, \tag{2.1}$$

because on Supp ω we have $\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \psi_k = \varphi$.
But, because σ is p.s.h., we have that $c_{\psi_k} \geq c_\varphi$ and $\forall z \notin \bar D,\ e^{\psi_k(z)} = e^{k\sigma(z)} e^{\varphi(z)}$ with $\sigma(z) > 0$, this means that u_k must be small in $\bar D^c_\epsilon$.

Now we proceed as in [2]. We have by (2.1) :

$$\int_\Omega |u_k|^2 c_\varphi e^{\psi_k} dm \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)}$$

because $c_{\psi_k} \geq c_\varphi$. Then, by $e^{\psi_k} = e^{k\sigma}e^\varphi \geq e^{k\chi(\epsilon)}e^\varphi$ on D_ϵ^c, we get that

$$e^{k\chi(\epsilon)} \int_{\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon} |u_k|^2 c_\varphi e^\varphi dm \leq \int_{\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon} |u_k|^2 c_\varphi e^\varphi e^{k\sigma} dm \leq C\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)}$$

hence

$$\int_{\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon} |u_k|^2 c_\varphi e^\varphi dm \leq Ce^{-k\chi(\epsilon)}\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)}. \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\int_\Omega |u_k|^2 c_\varphi e^\varphi dm \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)}$$

hence the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$. So there is a subsequence, still denoted $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, converging weakly to $u \in L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$, i.e.

$\forall f \in L^2_{n-p,n-q+1}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi),\ \langle u_k, f\rangle \to \langle u, f\rangle$.

To see that this form u is 0 *a.e.* on $\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon$ let us take a component $u_{I,J}$ of it ; it is the weak limit of the sequence of functions $\{u_{k,I,J}\}$ which means, with the notations $v := u_{I,J},\ v_k := u_{k,I,J},\ d\mu := c_\varphi e^\varphi dm$,

$$\forall g \in L^2(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi),\ \int_\Omega v g d\mu = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega v_k g d\mu.$$

As usual take $g := \dfrac{\bar v}{|v|}\mathbb{1}_E$ where $E := \{|v| > 0\} \cap (\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon)$ then we get

$$\int_\Omega v g d\mu = \int_E |v|\, d\mu = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_\Omega v_k g d\mu = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_E \frac{v_k \bar v}{|v|} d\mu. \tag{2.3}$$

Now we have by Cauchy-Schwarz

$$\left| \int_E \frac{v_k \bar v}{|v|} d\mu \right| \leq \|v_k\|_{L^2(E,d\mu)} \|\mathbb{1}_E\|_{L^2(E,d\mu)}.$$

But

$$\|v_k\|^2_{L^2(E,d\mu)} \leq \int_{\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon} |u_k|^2 d\mu \leq Ce^{-k\chi(\epsilon)}\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)}$$

by (2.2) because $\chi(\epsilon) > 0$.

Hence, by (2.3),

$$\left| \int_E |v|\, d\mu \right|^2 \leq C\|\mathbb{1}_E\|_{L^2(E,d\mu)} \lim_{k\to\infty} e^{-k\chi(\epsilon)}\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)} = 0$$

which implies $\mu(E) = m(E) = 0$ because on $E,\ |v| > 0$.

This being true for all components of u, we get that the form u is 0 *a.e.* on $\Omega\backslash D_\epsilon$ i.e. $\operatorname{Supp} u \subset D_\epsilon$. So we get

$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{n-p,n-q}(\Omega),\ (-1)^{p+q-1}\langle \omega,\ \varphi\rangle = \langle u_k,\ \bar\partial\varphi\rangle \to \langle u,\ \bar\partial\varphi\rangle \Rightarrow \langle u,\ \bar\partial\varphi\rangle = (-1)^{p+q-1}\langle \omega,\ \varphi\rangle$

hence $\bar\partial u = \omega$ as distributions.

We proved that :

$\exists C > 0,\ \forall \epsilon > 0,\ \exists u_\epsilon \in L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi) :: \bar\partial u_\epsilon = \omega,\ \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega,c_\varphi e^\varphi)} \leq C\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(\Omega,e^\varphi)}$,

and Supp $u_\epsilon \subset D_\epsilon$. Because C is independent of ϵ, we can take again a subsequence to get finally that

$$\exists u \in L^2_{(p,q-1)}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi) :: \bar\partial u = \omega,\ \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)} \leq C\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(\Omega, e^\varphi)},\ \text{Supp}\ u \subset \bar D,$$

and the theorem is proved. ■

We have a finer control of the support (see [2], theorem 2.13).

Theorem 2.2 *Let Ω be a pseudo convex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and φ be a strictly plurisubharmonic function of class $\mathcal{C}^2$ in Ω. Let $c_\varphi(z)$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $\partial\bar\partial\varphi(z)$.*
Let $\omega \in L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\Omega,\ e^\varphi)$ with $\bar\partial\omega = 0$ if $q < n$ and $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$ if $q = n$, and with support in $\Omega\backslash C$ where C is also a pseudo convex domain, then there is a $u \in L^{2,c}_{p,n-1}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$, $\bar\partial u = \omega$, $\|u\| \leq C\|\omega\|$ with support in $\Omega\backslash\bar U$, where U is any open set relatively compact in C, provided that $q \geq 2$.

Proof.
Let ω be a (p,q) form with compact support in $\Omega\backslash C$ then $\exists v \in L^{2,c}_{p,q-1}(\Omega, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$, $\bar\partial v = \omega$, with compact support in Ω, by theorem 2.1.
Because ω has its support outside C we have $\omega = 0$ in C ; this means that $\bar\partial v = 0$ in C. On the support of v we have, because φ is s.p.s.h. and of class $\mathcal{C}^2$,

$$0 < \delta \leq c_\varphi e^\varphi \leq M < \infty \tag{2.4}$$

hence $v \in L^2_{p,q-1}(C)$. By Hörmander we have, because C is Stein and bounded, that
$\exists h \in L^2_{p,q-2}(C) :: \bar\partial h = v$ in C.
Let U be open and such that $\bar U \subset C$. Let χ be a smooth function such that $\chi = 1$ in U and $\chi = 0$ near ∂C ; then set
$u := v - \bar\partial(\chi h)$.
We have that $u = v - \chi\bar\partial h - \bar\partial\chi \wedge h = v - \chi v - \bar\partial\chi \wedge h$ hence u is in $L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$; moreover $u = 0$ in $\bar U$ because $\chi = 1$ in U hence $\bar\partial\chi = 0$ there. Finally $\bar\partial u = \bar\partial v - \bar\partial^2(\chi h) = \omega$. Because of (2.4) $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega)$ implies $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\Omega,\ c_\varphi e^\varphi)$ and we are done. ■

If Ω and C are, for instance, pseudo-convex in $\mathbb{C}^n$ then $\Omega\backslash C$ is no longer pseudo-convex in general, so this theorem improves actually the control of the support.

3 Approximation procedure.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\omega \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n,\ e^\varphi)$ with $\bar\partial\omega = 0$ if $q < n$ and $\omega \perp \mathcal{H}_{n-p}(\Omega,\ e^{-\varphi})$ if $q = n$, then there is $u \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^\varphi)$ such that $\bar\partial u = \omega$, and*

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^\varphi)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, e^\varphi)}.$$

This is theorem 1.2 of [1] where the assumption of the compact support for ω is removed.
Proof.
The idea is to proceed by approximation. So by theorem 2.1 we have the following result:

$$\forall\omega \in L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n,\ e^\varphi),\ \exists u \in L^{2,c}_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^\varphi),\ \bar\partial u = \omega,\ \|u\| \leq C\|\omega\|. \tag{3.5}$$

Now let $\omega \in L^2_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n,\ e^\varphi)$ then there exists $B_k = B(0, r_k)$, $r_k \geq r_{k-1} + 1 \to \infty$, a sequence of balls in $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\int_{B_k^c} |\omega|^2 e^{\varphi} dm \leq 1/k + 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Take $\chi_k \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(B_k)$ such that $\chi_k = 1$ in B_{k-1}. Consider the form $\omega_k := \chi_k \omega$; we have: $\|\omega - \omega_k\| \leq 1/k$ by (3.6).
And $\bar{\partial}\omega_k = \bar{\partial}\chi_k \wedge \omega$. Clearly we can choose χ_k such that $\left\|\bar{\partial}\chi_k\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and this is the place where $\mathbb{C}^n$ is required.
Hence

$$\left\|\bar{\partial}\omega_k\right\|^2 = \int_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}} \left|\bar{\partial}\chi_k\right|^2 |\omega|^2 e^{\varphi} \leq \int_{B_k \setminus B_{k-1}} |\omega|^2 e^{\varphi} \leq \frac{1}{k}.$$

By (3.5) we have that there exists $u_k \in L^{2,c}_{p,q}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi})$, $\bar{\partial}u_k = \bar{\partial}\omega_k$ with $\|u_k\| \leq C/k$.

Now set: $\mu_k := \omega_k - u_k$; we have $\bar{\partial}\mu_k = 0$ and $\|\omega - \mu_k\| \leq \dfrac{1+C}{k}$ because $\omega - \mu_k = \omega - \omega_k + u_k$.
Moreover we have that μ_k is compactly supported. So there is $v_k \in L^{2,c}_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi})$, $\bar{\partial}v_k = \mu_k$ and $\|v_k\| \leq C\|\mu_k\| \leq C(\|\omega\| + \dfrac{1+C}{k})$.
Because the norm of v_k in $L^2_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi})$ is uniformly bounded, we have a weakly converging sub sequence :

$$\exists v \in L^2_{p,q-1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi}) :: \forall f \in L^2_{n-p,n-q+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, c_\varphi e^{\varphi}),\ \lim \langle v_k, f\rangle = \langle v, f\rangle.$$

Now choose $f := \bar{\partial}\varphi$, with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{n-p,n-q}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ then

$$\left\langle v_k, \bar{\partial}\varphi\right\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\left\langle \bar{\partial}v_k, \varphi\right\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\langle \mu_k, \varphi\rangle \to \left\langle v, \bar{\partial}\varphi\right\rangle = (-1)^{p+q}\left\langle \bar{\partial}v, \varphi\right\rangle.$$

But $\mu_k = \omega + e_k$, with $\|e_k\| \leq \dfrac{1+C}{k}$ hence

$$\langle \mu_k, \varphi\rangle = \langle \omega, \varphi\rangle + \langle e_k, \varphi\rangle \to \langle \omega, \varphi\rangle$$

so

$$\left\langle \bar{\partial}v, \varphi\right\rangle = \langle \omega, \varphi\rangle$$

which means that $\bar{\partial}v = \omega$ in the distributions sense. ■

References

[1] E. Amar. Serre duality and Hörmander's solution of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 2016. DOI information: 10.1016/j.bulsci.2016.01.004.

[2] Eric Amar. An Andreotti-Grauert theorem with L^r estimates. *arXiv:1203.0759v5*, 2012.

[3] H. Hedenmalm. On Hörmander solution of the $\bar{\partial}$-equation. *Math. Z.*, 281(1):349–355, 2015.